



#### **AGENDA ITEM NO 6**

# Henleaze, Stoke Bishop and Westbury on Trym NEIGHBOURHOOD PARTNERSHIP Monday 7<sup>th</sup> March 2016

**Report of:** Andrew McGrath – Communities & Neighbourhoods

Title: Well Being Report

**Contact Telephone Number:** 0117 9036436

#### **RECOMMENDATIONS:**

- The NP to note the current Well Being allocation
- The NP to <u>discuss and agree</u> the Well Being Panel recommendations as set out in Table 1.
- To <u>note</u> the actions for the Neighbourhood Coordinator regarding the management of the well-being grants process

### 1. Well Being Funding 2015/16

Well Being funding at the start of the year was: £30,000

At the June meeting the NP awarded: £1,266
At the September meeting the NP awarded: £1,630
At the December meeting the NP awarded: £150

This leaves: £26,954

## 2. Well Being Panel recommendations

The Well Being Panel met on Monday 18<sup>th</sup> January 2016. Its recommendations are set out in table 1 below. If the recommended maximum\* allocations are agreed by the NP, the total funding allocated this NP will be £7,483, which would leave £19,471 to roll over to 2016/17.

<sup>\*</sup>Please see specific recommendations. The amounts awarded will vary depending on the responses to further information requests to applicants

Name	What they do	What is application for?	How much Requested £	Discussion and Recommendation
Busy Bees Pre-school Group	Pre-school Group	To pay for staff to assist the weekly nature walks to Fallodon Way Play Area	£388.80	The NP is not allowed to pay salary support costs. The Panel is sympathetic to the work and aims of the group and would urge a rethink on what they apply for, to bring them within the funding criteria of the NP  Recommendation:  Can't fund this application but willing to consider an alternative request.
Friends of Downs and Avon Gorge	Voluntary group that helps to maintain the Downs and Avon Gorge	FOD&AG Recruitment Drive	£1,090.00	The Panel expressed concern that the volunteer figures are incorrect. As three NPs border the Downs, the panel considers it appropriate that the other 2 neighbouring NPs also contribute towards this project.  Why hasn't the applicant applied for the amount of the cheapest quote provided?  The Panel is aware of the good work this group performs (the NP has funded it before). But the group can't keep coming back to this NP. It needs to seek other sources of funding as well.  Recommendation:  Award £600 and ask the 2 neighbouring NPs to assist as well

School's Out	After School and	School's Out Well Being	£883	Excellent group, good cause.
Henleaze	Holiday Club	Project. Purchase new equipment		1 abstention due to a declaration of interest. 7 in favour, 0 against  Recommendation:
				Fund fully = £883
Stoke Bishop CA	Maintain SB Community Hall for Community use	Replacement of original 1885 front door	£3,000	One verbal quote secured so far. The Panel will need the 2 quotes.  The applicant will need to assure the Panel that it is complying with its listed building requirements when the work is performed.
				Recommendation:
				Fund £3,000 with the following conditions:
				<ol> <li>Two quotes are obtained and forwarded to the NPC Afternote: (copies available for inspection)</li> <li>The SBCA must guarantee the panel that it is able to find any additional money if the cost of the work is above £3k. Afternote: Confirmation received</li> </ol>
Stoke Lodge Playground	Community Group for parents, families and children in Stoke Bishop	Older age group (8- 14yrs) accessible playground equipment	£3,968	The NP Environment Working Group, at its meeting on 14 <sup>th</sup> January 2016, agreed to formally recommend paying for this project from the NP's CIL funds.
				Recommendation:
				The Panel recommends fully funding this application only if the NP Committee doesn't agree to fund it from the NP's CIL funds

Horfield and	Children's	Families and	£3,000	Concern was expressed that
Henleaze	Centre	Communities	20,000	the NP may be being asked to
Children's	providing	Together.		fund something that doesn't
Centre	services for	Bring families		benefit residents from the NP
	families and	and		area. The NPC was asked to
	children in	practitioners		investigate with the applicant
	Henleaze	together to		the following concerns:
	and	support		
	Horfield/Ma	parenting		1. What actually is the
	nor Farm	paroriting		project? Not clear what it
	areas			does and what the benefit
	arcas			would be
				2. What is the project's start
				date?
				3. Where will the project be
				based?
				4. What proportion of the
				project beneficiaries live in the
				HSBWOT NP area?
				HODWOT IN area:
				Afternote: NPC contacted the
				applicant on 19 <sup>th</sup> January
				2016.
				2010.
				Recommendation:
				If the above questions are
				answered (and satisfy the
				Panel), the Panel <u>agrees</u> to
				recommend funding the
				project at the same proportion
				of beneficiaries from the
				HSBWOT NP area compared
				to the total beneficiaries
	I		<u> </u>	

# 3.0 Any Other Business

- 3.1 A panel member raised the issue of differing versions of applications and guidance documents on the BCC website and the NP's website. These seem to change without notice. Andrew agreed to investigate and to ensure the NP website has the most recent version. NPC is also to investigate why the version control appears to be faltering. **Action**: Andrew. **Afternote**: The application process is currently being reviewed, with a view to making the application form and process simpler. This should be complete in time for the next grants round.
- 3.2 The panel was concerned that it didn't receive the applications until the Friday before the Monday panel meeting. This is not enough time to consider them in

detail. Andrew apologised and agreed to liaise with the admin staff member responsible for sending out applications to panels to ensure they are sent out the next working day after the closing date. **Action:** Andrew

## 4. Legal Information

When councillors decide how the wellbeing fund is spent they should have due regard to the public sector equality duty that applies to all public bodies. This duty is contained in the Equality Act 2010 and came in to force on 6 April 2011. It replaces previous equality duties under the Sex Discrimination, Race Relations and Disability Discrimination Acts.

The duty means that councillors are required to have due regard to the need to:

- Eliminate unlawful discrimination, harassment and victimisation and other conduct prohibited under the Act
- Promote equality of opportunity between different groups
- Foster good relations between people from different groups

The duty covers the following protected characteristics:

- Disability
- Sexual orientation
- Age
- Gender reassignment
- Religion and belief
- Sex
- Race
- Pregnancy and maternity

It also applies to marriage and civil partnership, but only in respect of the requirement to eliminate discrimination and harassment.